

## General Environmental Process

- Have there been any comments yet from the cooperating agencies on the document or the review process?
- Has the City heard from the SHPO regarding the concurrence on the determination of eligibility?
- Concerns on the proposed test borings.

## Response to Park Service Comments

- Would like to have a word document of the NPS comments and response.
- TCPs.
- AIS Phase 4
- Comment matrix that I found only included comments up to 10/31/09. Is there a later version of the comment matrix? Is there a reason why specific comments from the Historic Hawaii Foundation on November 5<sup>th</sup>, The National Trust on November 23<sup>rd</sup>, and the Navy on December 30<sup>th</sup> were not responded to or incorporated into the PA?
- Schedule of deliverables and reviews in the PA. The NPS has requested a schedule. The City did not provide one. FTA would like to see a schedule or a matrix listed out of deliverables and review time period. The deliverables do not need to have specific dates associated with them. Would like a general idea of what the review process is going to be.
- Page 3 of comments – Response to Apparent Omissions of the Kamehameha Highway Bridge over Halawa Stream. Would like more specific information from the City. How are the effects from the transit-way different for this bridge than other bridges including the Hono'uli'uli Stream bridge and the Waiawa Stream bridge 1932 (westbound lanes). Would like specific reference to the letter.
  - Remove the phrase "Please note that an adverse effect under Section 106 is not necessarily identical to a NEPA impact."
  - On Page 7, description of the bridges. Should provide links and page numbers of the plans for each of the bridges. FTA would like the engineering staff of the City to confirm that it is feasible to span the historic bridges without affecting the historic bridges. We will provide a new Section 4(f) chapter and there needs to be page numbers. This response to the NPS in this section is not adequate.
  - Renderings of the bridges in the photographs would be helpful in demonstrating that there is no constructive use.
- HABS HAER HALS documentation review 30-day review. Recommend moving to 60 days to appease the NPS.
- On page 3 of the comments, the response says that FTA has notified the ACHP and the SHPO of its intent to make a de minimis impact determination on the two historic properties – Boulevard Saimin and O'ahu Railway and Land Company Basalt Paving Blocks and Former Filing Station. Did the City receive a concurrence response from the ACHP and the SHPO?
- Page 9, the response to the question about noise. The Section 4(f) chapter should provide page numbers to reference back on the noise analysis.

- Comment on the Section 4(f) use discussion of the Chinatown historic district.
- Other questions.

#### General observations on the admin FEIS

- Mitigation measures on page II of the admin FEIS. The City needs to take out any language on a phase ROD approach.
- Should include meeting notes from the consulting party meetings in the Appendix. Some consulting parties provided comments on the meeting notes. Preferably the meeting notes included in the appendix should incorporate those comments or those comments be provided in the same section as the meeting notes.
- The Section 4(f) chapter is long and complex. Would be very helpful for the Table 5-2 to include a column of page numbers on where these resources are discussed in the Chapter.
- In reviewing the Section 4(f) chapter, a temporary use of the Pearl Harbor Historic district is mentioned. The section is unclear from the text as to what is being used and whether it is part of the historic district.
- Under the Hawaii Employers Council Building in both the Section 4(f) and Noise and Vibration section, the proximity of the alignment to the Employers Council building should be mentioned. Should mention that preliminary review does not indicate that there would be operational vibration concerns, however, there will be further study during Final Design for construction vibration concerns. Could mention mitigation committed to in the PA related to noise and vibration.